

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/877,378	06/08/2001	Michael Nelson	Vmware 10	2444
7590 12/14/2004 .			EXAMINER	
VMWARE, INC			TRUONG, LECHI	
ATTN: JEFFREY PEARCE 3145 PORTER DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PALO ALTO, CA 94304			2126	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
a'aa.	09/877,378	MICHEAL NELSON				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LeChi Truong	2126				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 O</u>	ctober 2004.					
	action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 4-11,13-20,25-27,30-32,34-40,44 and 45 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3, 12, 21-24, 28, 29, 33 and 41-43 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished an accomplished and any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/877,378 Page 2

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-24 are presented for the examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 24, 28, 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonola (US. Patent 5,913,058) in view of Sato et al (US. Patent 4,835,677).
- 3. As to claim 1, Bonola teaches the invention substantially as claimed including: hardware processor (the I/O processor, col 3, ln 9-22/ col 4, ln 45-55, col 5, ln 1-20), a first operating system (the host processor, col 3, ln 9-22/ col 4, ln 45-55, col 5, ln 1-20), a most privileged, system level/ direct access col 2, ln 25-27), initializing the computer (boot time, col 5, ln 1-7/ col 7, ln 57-61/ col 9, ln 62-67 to col 10, ln 3-10/ col 2, ln 65-67), a kernel (the real-time kernel, col 3, ln 9-22/ col 4, ln 45-55, col 5, ln 1-20), a second operating system(col 9, ln 39-44), loading the kernel(loads the real-time kernel, col 3, ln 9-21), starting execution of the kernel(the real-time kernel to be loaded into the system memory and executed on the I/O processor prior to the host operating system, col 3, ln 10-21), handed request for the system resource(col 5, ln 15-22).
- 4. Bonola does not explicit teach the kernel substantially displacing the COS, via the kernel, including scheduling execution of the COS on the hardware processor. However, Sato teaches

Application/Control Number: 09/877,378

Art Unit: 2126

the kernel substantially displacing the COS, via the kernel, including scheduling execution of the COS on the hardware processor (the first operating system being provided with access to said first control registers by the supervisory operating system; the supervisory operating system writing a first value in the register indication bit of said extended control register when the first operating system run, col 3, ln 57-61 / ln 65-67 to col 4, ln 1-2/ col 2, ln 34-40/ ln 49-ln 50-51 / ln 55-58 and abstract ln 8-13).

Page 3

- 5. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Bonola and Sato because Sato's the kernel substantially displacing the COS, via the kernel, including scheduling execution of the COS on the hardware processor would reduce the overall overhead time of the system when the hardware can be accessed directly by the operating system.
- 6. As to claim 2, Bonola teaches a load call module (the loader program 32, col 7, ln 37-45), the load module (col 8, ln 9-15).
- 7. As to claim 3, Bonola teaches the load call module as a driver (col 7, ln 40-43).
- 8. As to claim 24, Bonola teaches halting execution of the kernel (col 8, ln 31-32), reinstalling a state of the first operating system, resuming execution of the first operating system (col 7, ln 12-21), the kernel is removed from the computer (the kernel from the storage device is moved to the system memory, col 15-18/25-30).
- 9. As to claims 28, 29, they are apparatus claims of claims 1, 2; therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 1, 2 above.

Application/Control Number: 09/877,378

Art Unit: 2126

10. Claims 12, 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonola (US. Patent 5,913,058) in view of Sato et al (US. Patent 4,835,677) and further in view of Admitted Prior Art (APA).

Page 4

- 11. As to claim 12, Bonola and Sato do not teach virtual machine, a virtual machine monitor. However, APA teaches virtual machine, a virtual machine monitor (virtual machines, virtual machine monitor, col 2, ln 4-11).
- 12. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Bonola, Sato and APA because APA's virtual machines, virtual machine monitor would made the computer configuration for resource management more consistent.
- 13. As to claim 33, it is an apparatus claim of claim 12; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 12 above.
- 14. Claims 21, 22, 41, 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonola (US. Patent 5,913,058) Sato et al (US. Patent 4,835,677) in view of Admitted Prior Art (APA) and further in view of Bugnion et al (6,017,938).
- 15. As to claim 21, Bonola, Sato and APA do not teach mapping a kernel address space into a VMM address space. However, Bugnion teaches mapping a kernel address space into a VMM address space (Disco provides ... this organization was selected to match the assumptions made by the operating system, col 9, 50-53).

Application/Control Number: 09/877,378

Art Unit: 2126

16. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Bonola, Sato, APA and Bugnio because Bugnio's Disco provides ... this organization was selected to match the assumptions made by the operating system would provide the operating system execute on the plurality of virtual machines and transparently share the plurality of computer processors through the VMM layer.

Page 5

- 17. As to claim 22, Bagnio teaches the setting a segment length for the VMM lager than a minimum length necessary to fully contain both the VMM and the kernel (col 10, ln 37-43), free of the any need to change a corresponding segment (col 10, ln 44-50).
- 18. As to claims 41, 42, they are apparatus claims of claims 21, 22; therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 21, 22.
- 19. Claims 23, 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonola (US. Patent 5,913,058) in view of Sato et al (US. Patent 4,835,677) in view of Admitted Prior Art (APA) and further in view of Subrahmanyam (US. Patent 6,735,601 B1).
- As to claim 23, Bonola, Sato and APA do not teach virtual disk, virtual disk block 20. pointer, and a file descriptor table storing block identification. However, Subrahmanyam teaches (VDIK/ virtual disk, col 14, ln 60-67/ Fig. 4, block pointer, block hash table, col 15, ln 39-47).
- 21. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Bonola, Sato, APA and Subrahmanyam because Subrahmanyam's VDSK/ virtual disk, block pointer, block hash table would allow the server to relocate the various blocks according to its own needs using its native algorithms.

Application/Control Number: 09/877,378 Page 6

Art Unit: 2126

22. As to claim 43, it is an apparatus claim of claim 23; therefore, it is rejected for the same

reason as claim 23 above.

Allowable Subject Matter

24. Claims 4-11, 13-20, 25, 26, 27, 30-32, 34-38, 39-40, 44, 45 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LeChi Truong whose telephone number is (703) 305 5312. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on 703-305-9678. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIP. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIP

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197(toll-free).

LeChi Truong

December 6, 2004

MENG-AL 1. AN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100